

Quantum Solitons in the Calogero-Sutherland Model

R. K. Bhaduri and Akira Suzuki *

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, McMaster University,
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8S 4M1*

Abstract

We show that the single quasi-particle Schrödinger equation for a certain form of one-body potential yields a stationary one soliton solution. The one-body potential is assumed to arise from the self-interacting charge distribution with the singular kernel of the Calogero-Sutherland model.

The quasi-particle has negative or positive charge for negative or positive coupling constant of the interaction. The magnitude of the charge is unity only for the semion. It is also pointed out that for repulsive coupling, our equation is mathematically the same as the steady-state Smoluchowski equation of Dyson's Coulomb gas model.

05.30.-d, 05.30.Fk

Typeset using REVTeX

*Permanent address: Department of Physics, Science University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Recently, interesting work has been done [1,2] on classical solitons in the one-dimensional many-body system with inverse-square interaction. It has been found that in the $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ limit, particle excitations from the quantum ground-state may be regarded as solitons. Two different approaches have been used to investigate this problem. Sutherland and Campbell [1] have examined Newton's equation of motion for finite systems, and then taken the continuum (thermodynamic) limit for the infinite system. In the second approach, Polychronakos [2] has exploited the quantum collective field formulation of Andric *et al.* [3] to obtain the one-soliton solution in the $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ continuum limit. In this paper, on the other hand, we enquire under what conditions a particle obeying the Schrödinger equation will give rise to stationary solitonic solutions in the Calogero-Sutherland model [4–7](CSM). We find that the one-body Schrödinger equation may be cast in the form of a solvable nonlinear diffusion equation when a certain ansatz for the one-body potential is made. The one-soliton solution of this diffusion equation, first proposed by Satsuma and Mimura [8], is of the same form as found by Polychronakos [2]. We find that soliton solutions may exist for both repulsive or attractive interactions, and these carry positive or negative fractional charge inversely proportional to the strength of the interaction.

We begin with the Schrödinger equation for a particle propagating in a one-body potential $W(x)$. The treatment is completely general at this stage, and follows the Feynman Lectures [9] on physics. The ansatz for $W(x)$ will be made later to obtain the soliton solutions. Denoting the one-particle wave-function by $\psi(x, t)$, we have

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \psi(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + W(x)\psi(x, t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi(x, t)}{\partial t} . \quad (1)$$

Henceforth we shall denote the partial derivatives with respect to x by a prime and with respect to t by a dot. It is useful to multiply Eq. (1) by $\psi^*(x, t)$,

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \psi^* \psi'' + \psi^* W(x) \psi = i\hbar \psi^* \dot{\psi} , \quad (2)$$

and take the complex conjugate:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \psi \psi^{*''} + \psi W(x) \psi^* = -i\hbar \psi \dot{\psi}^* . \quad (3)$$

On subtracting Eq. (3) from Eq. (2), we get

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\psi^*\psi'' - \psi^{*''}\psi) = i\hbar(\psi^*\dot{\psi} + \psi\dot{\psi}^*) . \quad (4)$$

We now set, quite generally,

$$\psi(x, t) = \sqrt{\rho(x, t)} e^{i\theta(x, t)} , \quad (5)$$

where ρ and θ are real. Then Eq. (4) reduces to the continuity equation for the “charge” density ρ :

$$\dot{\rho} + \frac{\hbar}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho\theta') = 0 . \quad (6)$$

Thus it is legitimate to regard the velocity of the “fluid” to be

$$v(x, t) = \frac{\hbar}{m} \theta'(x, t) . \quad (7)$$

The energy density equation is obtained by adding Eqs. (2) and (3), and dividing by 2:

$$\tau(x, t) + W(x)\rho = -\hbar\rho\dot{\theta} , \quad (8)$$

where the kinetic energy density is

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x, t) &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{4m}(\psi^*\psi'' + \psi^{*''}\psi) \\ &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{1}{4} \frac{(\rho')^2}{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \rho'' + \rho(\theta')^2 \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We note, however, that an alternate form of kinetic energy density is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(x, t) &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\psi^{*'}\psi') \\ &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{1}{4} \frac{(\rho')^2}{\rho} + \rho(\theta')^2 \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The two expressions for the kinetic energy density differ by a perfect differential $(\hbar^2/4m)\rho''$ which vanishes on integration over space provided $\rho' = 0$ at infinity. We exploit this ambiguity to our advantage, and choose the kinetic energy density $\tilde{\tau}$ to be the mean of τ and τ_1 , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\tau}(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \tau(x, t) + \tau_1(x, t) \} \\
&= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{1}{4} \frac{(\rho')^2}{\rho} - \frac{1}{4} \rho'' + \rho(\theta')^2 \right) .
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

In the energy density Eq. (8), $\tau(x, t)$ is now replaced by $\tilde{\tau}(x, t)$, and we obtain

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{1}{4} \frac{(\rho')^2}{\rho} - \frac{1}{4} \rho'' + \rho(\theta')^2 \right) + W(x)\rho = -\hbar\rho\dot{\theta} . \tag{12}$$

Dividing through by ρ , and taking the derivative with respect to x , we get

$$m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) v = \frac{\hbar^2}{8m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\rho'}{\rho} \right) - \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} . \tag{13}$$

In the “co-moving” frame of the fluid, the left-hand side is the total derivative $m(dv/dt)$, and vanishes for uniform propagation velocity. We then obtain the steady-state equation in this frame to be

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{8m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\rho'}{\rho} \right) - W(x) = 0 , \tag{14}$$

where the constant of integration is taken to be zero. Until now, our treatment has been completely general, except for the special choice $\tilde{\tau}(x, t)$ of the kinetic energy density. We now proceed to investigate the form of $W(x)$ for which we may obtain soliton solutions.

We have in mind a one-dimensional many-particle system interacting pairwise with an inverse-square potential [4,5]. The hamiltonian for N -particles is given by

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{m} g \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_j)^2} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 , \tag{15}$$

where g is dimensionless and may take any value $\geq -1/2$. In the range $-1/2 \leq g \leq 0$ it is related to the statistical parameter α of exclusion statistics [10] by the relation $g = \alpha(\alpha - 1)$. The particles are confined by a harmonic potential, and the thermodynamic limit is taken by letting $\omega \rightarrow 0$ as N^{-1} . Generally we have the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit in mind with a vanishing harmonic confinement. For such a system, we make the ansatz that a quasi-particle excitation obeying Eq. (14) experiences a potential $W(x)$ given by (P is the principal value of the integral)

$$W(x) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m}g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(y)dy}{x-y} , \quad (16)$$

with a finite normalization corresponding to a charge q :

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(y)dy = q . \quad (17)$$

We emphasize that Eq. (16) is *not* a mean-field potential, but is a potential that arises from the *self-interaction* of the localized charge-distribution of the quasi-particle. With this ansatz, Eq. (14) takes the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{\rho'}{\rho} \right) + gP \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(y)dy}{x-y} \right] = 0 . \quad (18)$$

This is obviously satisfied if $\rho(y)$ is a solution of

$$\frac{\rho'}{\rho} = -8gP \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(y)dy}{x-y} . \quad (19)$$

Note that Eq. (18) may then be rewritten in the form

$$\rho'' + 8g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(y)dy}{x-y} \rho(x) \right] = 0 . \quad (20)$$

Formally, this equation has the same form as the steady-state Coulomb gas model of Dyson [11,7] for $g > 0$. In the diffusion problem, it is the steady-state Smoluchowski equation with a singular kernel, which describes the Brownian motion of a particle immersed in a fluid, with a friction-limited velocity. A clear description of this equation starting from the Langevin equation is given by Andersen and Oppenheim [12]. We stress that although formally our Eq. (20) is exactly of the same form as the diffusion equation for Brownian particles, the physics is quite different. Our system is conservative, while the latter is not, being subject to frictional forces.

Following the work of Satsuma and Mimura [8], we can write down the one-soliton stationary solution of Eq. (20). It is straight-forward to check, through a contour integration, that Eq. (19) is satisfied by

$$\rho(x) = \frac{c}{4\pi g} \frac{1}{x^2 + c^2} , \quad (21)$$

where c is an arbitrary positive parameter. It follows from Eq. (21) that the charge carried by the soliton is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(x) dx = \frac{1}{4g} . \quad (22)$$

This shows that the charge carried by the soliton is inversely proportional to g , and is negative unity for $\alpha = 1/2$ (semion).

Following Ref. [7], we may also choose the appropriate kernel for $W(x)$ in Eq. (16) to obtain the soliton solutions for the Sutherland Hamiltonian [6] on a circle. The many-particle system on a circle of circumference L is given by

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{m} g \left(\frac{\pi}{L} \right)^2 \sum_{i < j} \left(\sin \left[\frac{\pi(x_i - x_j)}{L} \right] \right)^{-2} . \quad (23)$$

In this case, we choose

$$W(x) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m} g \frac{\pi}{L} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{P} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \cot \left[\frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right] \rho(y) dy , \quad (24)$$

where $\rho(y)$ is taken to be a periodic solution, $\rho(y) = \rho(y + L)$. The equation satisfied by ρ is

$$\rho'' + 8g \frac{\pi}{L} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \text{P} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \cot \left[\frac{\pi(x-y)}{L} \right] \rho(y) dy \rho(x) \right\} = 0 . \quad (25)$$

Following Ref. [7], the solution is

$$\rho(x) = \frac{n}{4gL} \frac{\sinh \phi}{\cos[(2n\pi/L)x] + \cosh \phi} , \quad (26)$$

where n is an integer and ϕ a positive constant. The normalization of the density is independent of ϕ , and is given by

$$q = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \rho(x) dx = \frac{n}{4g} . \quad (27)$$

Polychronakos [2] obtained the periodic form of (26) by a superposition of the Lorentzians given by Eq. (21). This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x) &= \frac{c}{4\pi g} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+j\lambda)^2 + c^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\lambda g} \frac{\sinh(2\pi c/\lambda)}{\cosh(2\pi c/\lambda) - \cos(2\pi x/\lambda)} .\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

In general, this is not a solution of the original nonlinear Eq. (20) unless a special condition is satisfied [2]. However, Eq. (28) is always a solution of Eq. (25) corresponding to the Sutherland Hamiltonian on a circle. Our solution (26) may be recovered from Eq. (28) by shifting $x \rightarrow (x + \lambda/2)$, and by setting $n\lambda = L$.

In concluding, it should be pointed out that our Eq. (14) for the charge density $\rho(x)$ was derived by assuming a special form $\tilde{\tau}(x)$ of the kinetic energy density (see Eq. (11)). Alternatively, we could have directly substituted $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{i\theta}$ in the one-particle Schrödinger equation (1), and added an additional term $(\hbar^2/8m)(\rho''/\rho)$ to $W(x)$ to obtain the same result. The main point of the paper is that it is possible, under certain assumptions, to obtain the same type of mathematical solutions in a quantum frame-work as found in Ref. [2], in which the continuum limit with $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ was taken.

This research was supported by NSERC of Canada. We would like to thank M.V.Murthy for useful discussions. One of the authors (A.S) would like to thank the theory group of Department of Physics and Astronomy of McMaster University for hospitality during the period of his stay in the summer of 1995.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Sutherland and J. Campbell, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 888 (1994).
- [2] A. P. Polychronakos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 5153 (1995).
- [3] I. Andrić, A. Jevicki and H. Levine, Nucl. Phys. **B215**, 307 (1983); I. Andrić and V. Bardek, J. Phys. A **21**, 2847 (1988).
- [4] F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 2191 (1969); *ibid*, 2197 (1969).
- [5] B. Sutherland, J. Math. Phys. **12**, 246 (1971).
- [6] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. A **4**, 2019 (1971).
- [7] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. A **5**, 1372 (1972).
- [8] J. Satsuma and M. Mimura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **54**, 894 (1985).
- [9] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton and M. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures of Physics, Vol.3* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1965), p12-21.
- [10] F. D. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 937 (1991).
- [11] F. J. Dyson, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 1191 (1962).
- [12] H. C. Andersen and I. Oppenheim, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 1367 (1963).